UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/828,909	04/20/2004	Nobuhiro Gunji	MIPFP088	5657	
	7590 06/18/200 NILLA & GENCAREI	EXAMINER			
710 LAKEWAY SUITE 200		CLOUD, JOIYA M			
SUNNYVALE,	CA 94085	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2444		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		06/18/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Ар	plication No.	on No. Applicant(s)				
		10.	/828,909	GUNJI, NOBUHI	GUNJI, NOBUHIRO			
Office Action Summary			aminer	Art Unit				
		Joi	ya M. Cloud	2444				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIST STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIST STATE STATE OF THE MAIST STATE	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. tatutory period will app y will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMU In no event, however, may ly and will expire SIX (6) No ethe application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 07 April 2	009					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 April 2009</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or ele	ction requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 A<i>pril</i> 200</u>	<u>4</u> is/are: a)⊠ a	ccepted or b)⊡ ob	jected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is	required if the draw	ing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> </ol>							
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(e)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/12/2009.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  Other:							
•	· · · ————		· <del></del>	<del></del>				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to the communication filed on 06/09/2009. Claims 1-19 are PENDING. Applicant's arguments are moot in view of new grounds of rejection.

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/09/2009 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,909 Page 3

Art Unit: 2444

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Katagiri (US Patent No. 6,389,544).

As per claim 1, Katagiri teaches a service device that sequentially provides a service in response to a service request from a client, the client being connected to the service device via a network, the service device comprising: a detection module that detects whether the service device is capable of providing a service and whether the service can be provided within a preset time period by the service device (Abstract and col. 6, lines 47-67); and a notification module (Automatic Status Back (ASB) which transmits status reports to a host computer) that notifies the client of the result of detection regardless of whether or not a request for information about whether or not the service device is capable of providing the service within the preset time period has been received from the client and regardless of whether or not the service request has been received from the client (col. 5, lines 47-62, where the host computer is notified of printer 20 status changes without requesting the status information and col. 6, lines 1-20, col. 9, lines 4-15), wherein the detection module and the notification module are implemented as program instructions stored on a computer-readable medium or as a combination of hardware and program instructions stored on a computer-readable medium (col. 5, lines 25-46).

As per claim 2, Katagiri teaches a service device in wherein the notification module notifies the client of the result of detection when a change is detected between a state in which the service device is capable of providing a service within the preset time period and a state in which the service device is incapable of providing a service within the preset time period (col. 6, lines 47-col. 7, lines 1-20).

As per claim 3, Katagiri teaches a service device wherein the detection module detects whether or not the service device is capable of providing a service in real time (col. 6, lines 47-col. 7, lines 1-20 and col. 9, lines 4-15).

As per claim 4, Katagiri teaches a service device further comprising: a setting module that registers the client as a target of notification, wherein the notification module notifies the registered client of the result of detection (col. 5, lines 47-col., 6, lines 1-20).

As per claim 5, Katagiri teaches a service device in further comprising: a reception module that receives information from the client, the information relating to an attribute or type of a service required by the client, wherein the setting module registers the client if the service device is capable of providing a service of the attribute or type (col. 5, lines 47-col., 6, lines 1-20).

As per claim 6, Katagiri teaches a service device in wherein the setting module is capable of registering a plurality of the clients; the setting module stores a use condition in connection with a specific client of the plurality of clients, the use condition being used for allowing the specific client to use the service device preferentially; and when the use condition satisfied, the notification module further notifies the specific client of the satisfaction of the use condition (col. 5, lines 47-col., 6, lines 1-20).

As per claim 7, Katagiri teaches a service device in wherein when the use condition satisfied, the notification module further notifies a client other than the specific client that the service device became incapable of providing a service (Figure 1 and 10, paragraphs [0084] and [0085]).

Application/Control Number: 10/828,909

Art Unit: 2444

**As per claims 8-14,** claims 8-14 are substantially the same as claims 1-7, but in method rather than device form. Therefore, the rejection of claims 1-7 applies equally as well to claims 8-14.

Page 5

As per claim 15, Katagiri teaches a client that issues a service request to a service device via a network, the service device sequentially providing a service, the client comprising: a receive module that receives a result of detection from the service device regardless of whether or not a request for information about a status of the service device has been issued to the service device, the result of detection relating to whether the service device is capable of providing a service (Abstract and col. 6, lines 47-67) and whether the service can be provided within a preset time period (col. 7, lines 25-32 and col. 9, lines 4-15) by the service device (printer 20); and an acquaint module that acquaints a user of the client with the result of detection regardless of whether or not the request for information about the status of the service device and regardless of whether or not the service request has been received from the client (col. 6, lines 25-33), wherein the receive module and the acquaint module are implemented as program instructions stored on a computer-readable medium or as a combination of hardware and program instructions stored on a computer-readable medium (col. 5, lines 25-46).

As per claim 16, Katagiri teaches a client further comprising: a transmit module that transmits registration information to the service device, the registration information being used for registering the client at the service device, so that the client receives the result of detection from the service device (col. 5, lines 47-col., 6, lines 1-20).

Application/Control Number: 10/828,909 Page 6

Art Unit: 2444

As per claim 17, Katagiri teaches a service system including a service device that sequentially provides a service and a client that issues a service request to the service device via a network, for acquainting a user of the client with information regarding the service device, the method comprising the steps of (a) the service device detecting whether device is capable of providing a service and whether the service can be provided within a preset time period by the service device (Abstract and col. 6, lines 47-67, col. 7, lines 25-32 and col. 9, lines 4-15); (b) the service device notifying the client of the result of detection, regardless of whether or not a request for information about whether or not the service device is capable of providing the service within the preset time period has been issued from the client to the service device and regardless of whether or not the service request has been received from the client (col. 5, lines 47-62, where the host computer is notified of printer 20 status changes without requesting the status information and col. 6, lines 1-10); and (c) the client acquainting the user with the result of detection, regardless of whether or not the request for information about whether or not the service device is capable of providing the service within the preset time period has been issued from the client to the service device (col. 5, lines 25-46).

As per claim 18, claims 18 is substantially the same as claim 1, but in computer program product form rather than device form. Therefore, the rejection for claim 1 is applies equally as well to claim 18.

As per claim 19, claim 19 is substantially the same as claim 1, but in computer program product form rather than device form. Therefore, the rejection for claim 1 applies equally as well to claim 19.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,909

Art Unit: 2444

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

Page 7

should be directed to Joiya Cloud whose telephone number is 571-270-1146. The examiner

can normally be reached Monday to Friday from on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

William Vaughn can be reached on 571-272-3922. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3922.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free).

**JMC** 

June 14, 2009

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444